arXiv:1403.0933v1 [math-ph] 4 Mar 2014

ON LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITELY MANY DERIVATIVES.

MARCUS CARLSSON, HUMBERTO PRADO AND ENRIQUE G. REYES

Abstract. Differential equations with infinitely many derivatives, sometimes also referred to as "nonlocal" differential equations, appear frequently in branches of modern physics such as string theory, gravitation and cosmology. The goal of this paper is to show how to properly interpret and solve such equations, with a special focus on a solution method based on the Borel transform. This method is a far-reaching generalization of previous approaches (N. Barnaby and N. Kamran, Dynamics with infinitely many derivatives: the initial value problem. *J. High Energy Physics* 2008 no. 02, Paper 008, 40 pp.; P. Górka, H. Prado and E.G. Reyes, Functional calculus via Laplace transform and equations with infinitely many derivatives. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 51 (2010), 103512; P. Górka, H. Prado and E.G. Reyes, The initial value problem for ordinary equations with infinitely many derivatives. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 29 (2012), 065017). In particular we reconsider generalized initial value problems and disprove various conjectures found in the modern literature. We illustrate various phenomena that can occur with concrete examples, and we also treat efficient implementations of the theory.

1. Introduction

Differential equations with infinitely many derivatives appear frequently in modern physics, and special cases of such "nonlocal" equations have been extensively studied in string theory, quantum gravitation theory and cosmology, see for instance [1, 5, 6, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 18, 28, 38, 39] and also [30, 36, 40]. The mathematical study of such equations began over a century ago (see e.g. [32]), and there are several methods for finding solutions, most of these based on the Fourier and Laplace transforms (see for instance [7, 8, 20, 22]). Our main contribution in this paper is to generalize these classical approaches and to present a method relying on the Borel transform, see Definition 2.2 below. Interestingly, this transform was already used in this context in the 1930's by R.D. Carmichael [12, 13] and it has reappeared occasionally, see e.g. [23], but we believe it has not received the attention it deserves. We will show that the Borel transform method has several benefits due to its generality and simplicity. In addition to solving nonlocal equations in a framework which is more general than the one provided by Fourier and Laplace transform methods, we will show that this method combined with the theory of entire functions can be used

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 34A35. Secondary: 30D10, 30D15, 34A05, 34A12.

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal differential equations, convolution equations, Borel transform, functions of exponential type.

to give counterexamples of some conjectures found in the modern physics literature, especially concerning generalized initial value problems [5, 22]. We also include a comparison of our method with other proposals which have appeared in the literature [17, 24, 25, 37], as well as many examples, providing insight into pros and cons with the various techniques and demonstrating what phenomena to expect.

We consider the equation

$$\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = g(x) \tag{1.1}$$

where f is an unknown function on $\mathbb{R}$, g is a given function on $\mathbb{R}$, and the symbol $\phi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is an analytic function. We stress the fact that such equations can be solved using a number of different approaches, in addition to the one mentioned above based on the Borel transform. For example, given that ϕ is "nice", we could consider (1.1) as a particular case of a pseudo-differential equation and use the distribution theory approach developed by L. Schwartz, L. Hörmander and others [24]. Alternatively, there exists a theory developed by Yu. A. Dubinskii and his collaborators [3, 17, 37], which allows more general symbols ϕ's, but which also relies on the Fourier transform. For equations on the half-line there are also Laplace transform methods, see e.g. [7, 20, 21]. However, the suitability of these methods for a particular application —including the question of how to interpret the left hand side of (1.1)— depends on ϕ or on conditions on f and g imposed by the physical problem at hand (see for instance [5, 7, 28]). By comparison, we have found that the Borel transform approach turns out to be quite flexible and therefore of very general applicability.

In this paper we will consider (1.1) for f, g in the class Exp, that is, restrictions to $\mathbb{R}$ of entire functions of exponential type. As we shall see, there are several benefits of working with the class Exp. First of all Exp is a rather large space, containing e.g. all band limited functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as well as all exponential functions. We note that when ϕ is a polynomial, i.e. when (1.1) reduces to an ordinary differential equation, then the span of exponential functions of the form $x^m \mathsf{e}^{\lambda x}$, (where $\phi(\lambda) = 0$ and m is less than the multiplicity of λ), equals the set of homogenous solutions to (1.1). A pleasant fact is that these functions are in Exp, which is not the case for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ or more general classes of Sobolev type spaces. Thus, the theory for existence and uniqueness of solutions becomes particularly simple and natural in Exp. Relying on the Borel transform, we give a simple explicit formula for the solution of (1.1), given $g \in Exp$. We will also show that the homogenous solutions are obtained by a direct generalization of the situation for ODE's. Summing up, if we know the Borel transform of g and the zeroes of ϕ, all solutions to (1.1) in Exp are explicitly given. This is done in Sections 2, 3, 4, 5. The issue of actually computing the Borel transform in a concrete application is addressed in Section 10. Yet another good feature of working with Exp is that there can be no ambiguity as to how $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x)$ should be interpreted, since we shall see that

$$\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f = \lim_{K\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{d^k f}{dx^k}, \quad f \in Exp \tag{1.2}$$

with respect to uniform convergence on compact sets. This is in sharp contrast with e.g. the space C_c^∞ of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support, for we shall prove that the right hand side diverges in L^1_{loc} for all $f \in C_c^\infty$ and $\phi(z) = \mathsf{e}^{z^2}$ (Example 3.2). This rules out convergence in any weighted L^p-space, and with the same method one can also show that it does not converge in any classical Sobolev space. This is remarkable since $\mathsf{e}^{(\frac{d}{dx})^2}$ does have a physically relevant interpretation in e.g. $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (convolution with the heat kernel) which arises when considering the heat equation on the line. Since a subset of Exp is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (we can take, for instance, the set of Hermite functions) this physically relevant interpretation of $\mathsf{e}^{(\frac{d}{dx})^2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is easily derived from the general theory of this paper. We refer to Example 8.1 for more details. Now, the function $\phi(z) = \mathsf{e}^{z^2}$ is special because it is a bounded function on $i\mathbb{R}$, which is an underlying fact for the phenomena discussed above. We shall see in Section 3 and 6 that in order to have a general theory for solving (1.1) for arbitrary entire functions ϕ, we are forced to work with functions f, g that are restrictions to $\mathbb{R}$ of entire functions, as Exp.

After the general theory has been developed in Sections 2, 3, 4, 5, we consider particular cases in Sections 6 and 7. In particular, we show that equations of convolution type can be reformulated as (1.1), and hence solved via the Borel transform. Section 8 is devoted to showing the power of the present approach in comparison with the other methods mentioned initially. As we shall see, the various interpretations of the operator $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})$ coincide on common domains of definition. Thus, the question of which method to chose will depend on the symbol ϕ and on the particular function space one wishes to work in, as well as on the physical nature from which the equation has been deduced.

Section 9 sheds further light on initial value problems, see [20, 22]. A recurring issue in the physics literature is how to appropriately impose initial value conditions that uniquely specify the solution, in analogy with the theory of ODE's ([5, 7, 28]). Here, we use essentially the fact that we are working with real analytic functions, for it allows us to use deep results from the theory of entire functions of finite order, to (dis)prove some natural conjectures [5, 28]. In particular, we shall show that if the exponent of convergence of the zeroes of ϕ is > 1, then any function in $L^2(I)$, in which I is a finite interval, is arbitrarily close in $L^2(I)$ to a solution of the equation $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = 0$. See Proposition 9.2 for definitions and a precise statement. The paper ends with Section 10 treating the issue of how to efficiently implement stable solvers based on the methods presented in this paper.

2. Functions of exponential type

We provide a review of key properties of functions of exponential type. This is an extensively studied subject, and many more details can be found e.g. in [9, 27].

Definition 2.1. *An entire function F is said to be of exponential type if there exists a $C, \tau > 0$ such that*

$$|F(z)| \leq C\mathsf{e}^{\tau|z|}.$$

The number τ is called an exponential bound of F, and the infimum of all possible τ's is called the exponential type of F. The set of all entire functions of exponential type will be denoted by $Exp(\mathbb{C})$.

Examples of functions of exponential type include $\sin(z)$, $\cos(z)$ as well as all polynomials, but not e.g. e^{z^2}. We will denote by $Exp(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all functions f such that there exists an $F \in Exp(\mathbb{C})$ with $f = F|_{\mathbb{R}}$ (F restricted to $\mathbb{R}$). Note that $Exp(\mathbb{R})$ contains e.g. all band-limited functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. all functions whose Fourier transform has compact support. This is not hard to deduce by applying the Fourier inversion formula, but it also follows from Proposition 2.5 and formula (2.10) below. When there is no risk of confusion, we will make no distinction between f on $\mathbb{R}$ and its extension to $\mathbb{C}$, and simply write $f \in Exp$.

The class Exp will be the domain of the nonlocal differential operators we consider in this paper, while we will pose no restriction on the class of symbols ϕ appearing in our equations, except that they should be entire functions. We can give a concrete integral representation of the functions in Exp via the Borel transform which we define as follows:

Definition 2.2. *Suppose that $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ belongs to $Exp(\mathbb{C})$. The Borel transform of f is defined as*

$$\mathcal{B}(f)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!\, b_k}{z^{k+1}}\,, \tag{2.1}$$

If τ is the exponential type of f, it is a classical fact (see e.g. Theorem 5.3.1, [9]) that the series in (2.1) converges for all $|z| > \tau$, whereas this is false for all smaller discs. Even more, if we let S be the *conjugate diagram of* $\mathcal{B}(f)$, i.e. the smallest convex set contained in $\{z : |z| \leq \tau\}$ such that $\mathcal{B}(f)$ extends by analyticity outside S, then a classical theorem due to Polya tells us that S can be characterized in terms of the growth of f along rays emanating from $z = 0$. We refer to [9, Chapter 5] for the details, but we remark that this is closely connected with the following alternative definition of $\mathcal{B}(f)$ via the Laplace transform ; given z with $|z| > \tau$ we have

$$\mathcal{B}(f)(r\mathsf{e}^{i\theta}) = \mathsf{e}^{-i\theta}\int_0^{\infty} f(t\mathsf{e}^{i\theta})\mathsf{e}^{-rt}\, dt = \mathsf{e}^{-i\theta}\left(f(\cdot\ \mathsf{e}^{i\theta})\right). \tag{2.2}$$

We note that both (2.1) and (2.2) give concrete formulas for calculating the Borel transform of an explicitly given function $f \in Exp(\mathbb{C})$. If one only knows f on $\mathbb{R}$, neither of the above formulas can be evaluated, but we will see in Section 10 how this can be circumvented. The following formula, which says how to recover f from $\mathcal{B}(f)$, is crucial for our theory. Given any $R > \tau$ we have

$$f(z) = \int_{|\zeta|=R} \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta}\mathcal{B}(f)(\zeta)\ \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi\mathsf{i}}, \tag{2.3}$$

(Theorem 5.3.5, [9]).

Example 2.3. Let $f(x) = \mathsf{e}^x$. Then $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}$ and $f(z)$ is obviously of exponential type $\tau = 1$. We have,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{e}^x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\zeta^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{1}{1 - 1/\zeta} = \frac{1}{\zeta - 1} \ ,$$

which converges for $|\zeta| > 1$, as predicted by the theory. In this case, we note that the convex set S given by Polya's theorem mentioned above is significantly smaller than $\{\zeta : \ |\zeta| \leq 1\}$; obviously $S = \{1\}$. Now, we can invert $\mathcal{B}$: for $R > 1$, (2.3) implies that

$$\mathsf{e}^x = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathsf{e}^{xR\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}} \frac{R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}}{R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta} - 1} \ \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \tag{2.4}$$

which can be verified directly by expanding $\mathsf{e}^{xR\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}}$ and $(1 - \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}\theta}/R)^{-1}$ in power series, integrating and collecting non-zero terms.

□

To work with expressions of the type (2.3), we now introduce an extension of the Fourier-Laplace transform [24, 25]. It will turn out convenient (but it is not strictly necessary) to also work with distributions. To define distributions on $\mathbb{C}$ we identify it with $\mathbb{R}^2$ via $\zeta = \xi + \mathsf{i}\eta \leftrightarrow (\xi, \eta)$. We refer to [24], Sec 2.3 for basic results on distributions of compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Recall in particular that any distribution d of compact support automatically has finite order N, and that one then can find $C > 0$ such that

$$|\langle d, \tau \rangle| \leq C \sum_{n_1 + n_2 \leq N} \|\partial_\xi^{n_1} \partial_\eta^{n_2} \tau(\zeta)\|_{L^\infty} \tag{2.5}$$

for all test functions τ.

Definition 2.4. *If d is a distribution with compact support in $\mathbb{C}$, we set*

$$\mathcal{P}(d)(z) = \langle \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta}, d \rangle \ , \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.6}$$

where ζ represents the independent variable on which d acts. If $d = \mu$ is a measure, Equation (2.6) *clearly reduces to*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta} \ d\mu(\zeta).$$

The following proposition shows that the image of $\mathcal{P}$ equals *Exp*.

Proposition 2.5. *If d is a distribution with support in $\{\zeta : \ |\zeta| < R\}$, then $\mathcal{P}(d) \in Exp$ and*

$$|\mathcal{P}(d)(z)| \leq C\mathsf{e}^{R|z|} \tag{2.7}$$

for some $C > 0$. Conversely, given any $f \in Exp$ we can pick a measure μ_f such that $f = \mathcal{P}(\mu_f)$. The measure μ_f is not unique, on the contrary, if f has exponential type τ and $R > \tau$ is arbitrary, μ_f can be chosen to have support on $\{\zeta : \ |\zeta| = R\}$.

Proof. If $d = \mu$ is a complex measure (of finite variation) the first part follows as

$$|\mathcal{P}(\mu)(z)| \leq \int_{|\zeta|\leq R} \mathsf{e}^{|z|R}\, d|\mu|(\zeta) \leq \mathsf{e}^{|z|R}\|\mu\|.$$

The corresponding result for distributions is a bit more complicated. Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ be identically 1 on the support of d and identically 0 outside $\{\zeta :\ |\zeta| < R_1\}$, where $R_1 < R$. By (3.2) it then follows that

$$|\mathcal{P}(d)(z)| = \left|\left\langle d(\zeta), \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta}\right\rangle\right| = \left|\left\langle d(\zeta), \chi(\zeta)\mathsf{e}^{z\zeta}\right\rangle\right| \leq C \sum_{n_1+n_2\leq N} \|\partial_\xi^{n_1}\partial_\eta^{n_2}\chi(\zeta)\mathsf{e}^{z\zeta}\|_{L^\infty},$$

where C is a constant, N is the order of d and the inequality follows by the definition of distributions, see (2.3.1) in [24]. From this it easily follows that

$$|\mathcal{P}(d)(z)| \leq C_1(1+|z|^N)\mathsf{e}^{R_1|z|}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. Since $R_1 < R$, the inequality (2.7) follows. This shows that $Im\mathcal{P} \subset Exp$. The reverse inclusion follows by realizing that the integral representation (2.3) can be rewritten as $f = \mathcal{P}(\mu_{f,R})$ with the measure $\mu_{f,R}$ defined as

$$\mu_{f,R}(E) = \int_{\{\theta:\ R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}\in E\}} \mathcal{B}(f)(R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta})\ R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}\ \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad E \subset \mathbb{C} \tag{2.8}$$

□

Note that for any distribution d with compact support, Equation (2.3) implies that the function $\mathcal{P}(d)$ can be equivalently represented by a measure supported on a circle. Despite this, we will see in Section 6 that it can be much easier to work directly with distributions.

Example 2.6. Continuing Example 2.3, we have

$$\mathsf{e}^x = \mathcal{P}(\delta_1)(x)$$

where δ_1 is the Dirac measure with support at $\{1\}$. On the other hand by (2.4) and (2.8) we have that $\mathsf{e}^x = \mathcal{P}(\mu_{\mathsf{e}^x})$ with

$$\mu_{\mathsf{e}^x}(E) = \int_{\{\theta:\ R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}\in E\}} \frac{R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}}{R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}-1}\ \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad E \subset \mathbb{C}$$

and $R > 1$.

□

Example 2.7. the function $f(x) = \cos x$ is a function of exponential type 1 since

$$\cos x = \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}x} + \mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}x}\right) = \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\mathsf{i}} + \delta_{-\mathsf{i}})\right)\ ,$$

in which $\delta_{\pm\mathsf{i}}$ are Dirac measures with support at $\pm\mathsf{i}$. The Borel transform is easily calculated to $\mathcal{B}(\cos x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta-\mathsf{i}} + \frac{1}{\zeta+\mathsf{i}}\right) = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta^2+1}$, and hence for $R > 1$ we have

$$\cos x = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathsf{e}^{xR\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}} \frac{R\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\theta}}{R\mathsf{e}^{2\mathsf{i}\theta}+1}\ \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

□

More generally, letting Pol denote the set of all polynomials, we have that any function of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{finite} p_k(x)\mathsf{e}^{\zeta_k x}, \quad p_k \in Pol,\ \zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}$$

is in Exp and can therefore be expressed as (2.3). However, we remark that a more direct representation is given by letting d be the distribution $\sum p_k(-\partial_\xi)\delta_{\zeta_k}$, (where the independent variable is $\zeta = \xi + \mathsf{i}\eta$), and using (2.6). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(d)(x) &= \Big\langle \mathsf{e}^{x(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)}, \sum p_k(-\partial_\xi)\delta_{\zeta_k} \Big\rangle = \\ &= \sum \big\langle p_k(\partial_\xi)\mathsf{e}^{x(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)}, \delta_{\zeta_k} \big\rangle = \sum \big\langle p_k(x)\mathsf{e}^{x(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)}, \delta_{\zeta_k} \big\rangle = f(x)\end{aligned}$$

by standard calculation rules for distributions.

The transform $\mathcal{P}$ is an extension of both the Fourier and Laplace transforms, since the former appears if we restrict $\mathcal{P}$ to measures μ supported on $\mathsf{i}\mathbb{R}$ and the latter if we restrict $\mathcal{P}$ to measures supported on $\mathbb{R}^-$. More concretely, if $u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ has compact support and we define the distribution $u(-\xi)\delta_0(\eta)$ via

$$\langle u(-\xi)\delta_0(\eta), \tau(\xi,\eta)\rangle = \int u(-\xi)\tau(\xi,0)\ d\xi,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}\big(u(-\xi)\delta_0(\eta)\big)(z) &= \big\langle u(-\xi)\delta_0(\eta), \mathsf{e}^{(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)z} \big\rangle = \\ &= \int \mathsf{e}^{\xi z}u(-\xi)\ d\xi = \int \mathsf{e}^{-\xi z}u(\xi)\ d\xi = (u)(z),\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where denotes the Laplace transform. Clearly $u(-\xi)\delta_0(\eta)$ can be identified with the measure μ given by

$$\mu(E) = \int_{E\cap\mathbb{R}} u(-\xi)\ d\xi, \quad E \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Similarly, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the (unitary) Fourier transform. Given $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with compact support we have

$$\mathcal{P}\big(\delta_0(\xi)u(-\eta)\big)(z) = \int \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\eta z}u(-\eta)\ d\eta = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{F}(u)(z) \tag{2.10}$$

and a short argument shows that $\delta_0(\xi)u(-\eta)$ can be identified with the measure

$$\mu(E) = \int_{\mathsf{i}E\cap\mathbb{R}} u(\eta)\ d\eta, \quad E \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

One could thus consider $\mathcal{P}$ as a mere extension of the Fourier transform and call it something like "the extended Fourier-Laplace transform" (see [24, 25]). However, we avoid this since both the Fourier and Laplace transforms are intimately connected with their respective domains of definition, with associated inverses, etc. The transform $\mathcal{P}$ is different from the Fourier-Laplace transform in that its argument d is allowed to have support on $\mathbb{C}$ as opposed to $\mathbb{R}$, which significantly alters most properties.

3. Defining the functional calculus of $\frac{d}{dx}$

In this section we return to the differential equation (1.1), and show that the left hand side is well defined for any $f \in Exp$ in accordance with the limit (1.2) (with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compacts). We recall that if d is a distribution and $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$, we can define a new distribution ϕd via $\langle \phi d, \tau \rangle = \langle d, \phi\tau \rangle$, where τ represents a test function. If $d = \mu$ is a measure, $\phi\mu$ is also a measure and $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \tau \, d(\phi\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \tau\phi \, d\mu$. These facts are standard, see e.g. [14]. The main theorem of this section is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Given an entire function $\phi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $f \in Exp$ and a distribution d_f such that $f = \mathcal{P}(d_f)$, we have*

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{d^k f}{dx^k} = \mathcal{P}(\phi d_f) \tag{3.1}$$

uniformly on compacts. In particular the limit (3.1) *exists, and we will henceforth denote it by $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f$.*

Proof. If $d_f = \mu_f$ is a measure with compact support, then the identity

$$\frac{d}{dz} f = \frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{P}(d_f) = \mathcal{P}(\zeta d_f) \tag{3.2}$$

follows by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. The formula is true also in the general case, and the proof builds on the same estimate we used in Proposition 2.5. We omit the details. By repeated use of (3.2) we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{d^k f}{dz^k} - \mathcal{P}(\phi\mu_f) = \mathcal{P}\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k\zeta^k - \phi(\zeta)\right) d_f\right) = \left\langle d_f, \left(\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k\zeta^k - \phi(\zeta)\right) \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta} \right\rangle$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k\zeta^k$ converges uniformly on compacts to $\phi(\zeta)$, we have that

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{d^k f}{dz^k}(z) = \lim_{K\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{d^k}{dz^k}\mathcal{P}(\mu_f)(z) = \lim_{K\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k \frac{d^k}{dz^k} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta} d\mu_f(\zeta) =$$

$$= \lim_{K\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_k\zeta^k \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta} d\mu_f(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \phi(\zeta)\mathsf{e}^{z\zeta} d\mu_f(\zeta) = \mathcal{P}(\phi\mu_f)(z).$$

It is also easy to see that the limit is uniform on compact sets. If d_f is a distribution, the result follows by a similar modification as in Proposition 2.5. □

For instance,

$$\mathsf{e}^{y\partial_x} u(x) = \int \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta}\mathsf{e}^{y\zeta} d\mu_u(\zeta) = \int \mathsf{e}^{(x+y)\zeta} d\mu_u(\zeta) = u(x+y)\,. \tag{3.3}$$

If we allow complex y's, (3.3) indicates that if one wishes to develop a functional calculus for $\frac{d}{dx}$ which includes all entire functions, or at least all ϕ's of the form (3.3), then we are forced to operate on a space of functions that are restrictions of

entire functions. Hence, most classical function spaces like $C(\mathbb{R})$ or $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are not suitable. This statement is further supported by the next example, which gives a particular ϕ such that the convergence of (1.2) is not compatible with functions of compact support. We denote by $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ the set of all functions whose restriction to any compact interval I is integrable.

Example 3.2. *Claim: If $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ has compact support, the sequence $f_K = \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\partial_x^{2k} f}{k!}$ does not converge in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ (hence neither does it converge in any weighted $L^p(\mathbb{R})$-space, by Hölder's inequality) Thus, in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ we can not define $\mathsf{e}^{(\partial_x)^2}$ using series.*

First, we recall the Paley-Wiener theorem (see e.g. Theorem 19.3 in [33]), which says that for any $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support the number

$$b_u = \limsup_{y\to\infty} \log|\mathcal{F}(u)(\mathsf{i}y)|/y\ . \tag{3.4}$$

is finite and equals the right endpoint of the support of u. Similarly, the left endpoint a_u of $\mathsf{supp}\ u$ is given by $a_u = -\limsup_{y\to\infty} \log|\mathcal{F}(u)(-\mathsf{i}y)|/y$. Now, to prove the claim, suppose the limit exists in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and denote it by g. Clearly $\mathsf{supp}\ f_K \subset \mathsf{supp}\ f = [a_f, b_f]$ and so

$$a_f \le \mathsf{supp}\ g \le b_f \tag{3.5}$$

and $(f_K)_{K=1}^\infty$ converges to g in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Thus

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \mathcal{F}(f_K)(z) = \lim_{K\to\infty} \int_a^b f_K(t)\mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}zt}\frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \int_a^b g(t)\mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}zt}\frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \mathcal{F}(g)(z)\ .$$

On the other hand, by partial integration we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(f_K)(z) = \int_a^b \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{\partial_t^{2k} f(t)}{k!}\,\mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}zt}\frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi}} =$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{(-z)^{2k}}{k!}\int_a^b f(t)\mathsf{e}^{-\mathsf{i}zt}\frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{(-z)^{2k}}{k!}\mathcal{F}(f)(z)\ ,$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{F}(g)(z) = \mathsf{e}^{-z^2}\mathcal{F}(f)(z).$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$b_f \ge b_g = \limsup_{y\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathcal{F}(g)(\mathsf{i}y)|}{y} = \limsup_{y\to\infty} \frac{\log|\mathsf{e}^{y^2}\mathcal{F}(f)(\mathsf{i}y)|}{y} =$$
$$= \limsup_{y\to\infty}\ y + \frac{\log|\mathcal{F}(f)(\mathsf{i}y)|}{y} = \infty + b_f = \infty.$$

This contradiction proves the claim.

□

In the above example we worked with the concrete function $\phi(z) = \mathsf{e}^{z^2}$, which is an entire function of order 2. Based on deep results on entire functions, (see [27]), it is possible to show that the same contradiction arises for any ϕ of order > 1 with regular growth. On the other hand, it is well known that $\mathsf{e}^{-\partial_x^2} f(x)$ appears in the solution of the heat equation on the line. In this case, $\mathsf{e}^{-\partial_x^2} f(x)$ has the physically correct interpretation $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} f * \mathsf{e}^{-x^2/4}$, which at first seems to be a contradiction. This is not the case, as we further discuss in Sections 6, 7 and 8. The connection with the heat equation is worked out in Example 8.1. In the coming two sections we develop the general theory for solving (1.1).

4. Particular solutions

Using the machinery developed in the previous section, the existence of a solution to (1.1) is immediate. Given an entire function ϕ we let $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$ denote the set of zeroes of ϕ and $|\mathcal{Z}(\phi)|$ the set of their respective modulii.

Theorem 4.1. *Let ϕ be an entire function. The equation*

$$\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = g(x), \quad g \in Exp, \tag{4.1}$$

always has at least one solution. More precisely, if $g = \mathcal{P}(d_g)$ and $\mathsf{supp}\ d_g \cap \mathcal{Z}(\phi) = \emptyset$, and then a solution is given by

$$f = \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{d_g}{\phi}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Because of Theorem 3.1, Equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi d_f) = g\ ,$$

in which the "unknown" is d_f. That (4.2) provides a solution is immediate (since multiplication of functions and distributions is associative, see [24]). □

Note that by Proposition 2.5 we can always pick $d_g = \mu_g$ to be a measure supported on a circle of radius $R \notin |\mathcal{Z}(\phi)|$, so that the condition $\mathsf{supp}\ d_g \cap \mathcal{Z}(\phi) = \emptyset$ is met. In particular, for every $R \notin |\mathcal{Z}(\phi)|$ greater than an exponential bound τ of g (recall Definition 2.1), equation (4.2) can be explicitly evaluated as

$$f(x) = \int_{|\zeta|=R} \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta} \frac{\mathcal{B}(g)(\zeta)}{\phi(\zeta)}\ \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi\mathsf{i}}\ , \tag{4.3}$$

that is, we take μ_g as in (2.8). By Cauchy's theorem and the remarks following Definition 2.2, this argument can be taken one step further which we state as a separate corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let γ be any simply connected closed curve avoiding $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$ with the conjugate diagram S in its interior. Then*

$$f(x) = \int_{\gamma} \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta} \frac{\mathcal{B}(g)(\zeta)}{\phi(\zeta)}\ \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi\mathsf{i}}$$

solves (4.1).

Sometimes it is however better to work with distributions than with the above integrals, as the the example below illustrates.

Example 4.3. In the previous section we noted that

$$\sum p_k(x)\mathsf{e}^{\zeta_k x} = \mathcal{P}\left(\sum p_k(-\partial_\xi)\delta_{\zeta_k}\right)$$

for finite sums. If the right hand side g has this form and $d_g = \sum p_k(-\partial_\xi)\delta_{\zeta_k}$, then (4.2) evaluates to

$$f(x) = \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{\sum p_k(-\partial_\xi)\delta_{\zeta_k}}{\phi}\right) = \left\langle \mathsf{e}^{x(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)}, \frac{\sum p_k(-\partial_\xi)\delta_{\zeta_k}}{\phi}\right\rangle = \sum \left\langle p_k(\partial_\xi)\frac{\mathsf{e}^{x(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)}}{\phi(\xi+\mathsf{i}\eta)}, \delta_{\zeta_k}\right\rangle,$$

assuming that $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)\cap\{\zeta_k\} = \emptyset$. If this is not the case, we are forced to work with the more cumbersome expression (4.3), or resort to some perturbation analysis. Let us assume that $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)\cap\{\zeta_k\} = \emptyset$. For concrete values of p_k and ϕ, the last expression above can clearly be evaluated explicitly. We see immediately that the solution f will be of the form $f(x) = \sum q_k(x)\mathsf{e}^{\zeta_k x}$ as well, for some $q_k \in Pol$.

□

5. Homogeneous solutions

An immediate question in connection with Theorem 4.1 is whether different d_g's give rise to the same solution f. This is not the case, which is to be expected since if ϕ is a polynomial (so that (4.1) is simply a constant coefficient ordinary differential equation) then the homogenous solutions are linear combinations of exponential functions $\mathsf{e}^{\lambda x}$ where $\phi(\lambda) = 0$. This points out another pleasant fact when working with Exp, as opposed to traditional spaces like $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ or Sobolev spaces, namely that homogenous solutions are inside of the space.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in Exp$ be a solution to $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = 0$ of exponential type τ. Let $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} = \mathcal{Z}(\phi)$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$ and let m_k denote the multiplicity of ζ_k. Then there are polynomials p_k of degree $< m_k$ such that*

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\zeta_k|\leq\tau} p_k(x)\mathsf{e}^{\zeta_k x}\ . \tag{5.1}$$

Note that the sum in (5.1) is necessarily finite, since zeroes of analytic functions are discrete. Also note that if the zeroes of ϕ have multiplicity 1 then (5.1) reduces to

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\zeta_k|\leq\tau} c_k\mathsf{e}^{\zeta_k x}, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The proof of the theorem is given at the end of this section. We first note the following immediate corollaries to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.2. *$\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)$ is invertible in Exp if and only if the symbol ϕ has no zeroes. In this case,*

$$\left(\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\phi}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right).$$

By Proposition 2.5 we also easily get

Corollary 5.3. *Let $f_1 = \mathcal{P}(\mu_1)$ and $f_2 = \mathcal{P}(\mu_2)$ be solutions to the non-homogeneous equation (4.1), where the measures (or distributions) μ_1, μ_2 have compact support. Set $R = \sup\{|z| : \ z \in \mathsf{supp}\ \mu_1 \cup \mu_2\}$. Then $f_1 - f_2$ is given by (5.1) with $\tau = R$.*

To prove Theorem 5.1, we first need a basic lemma. Fix $R > 0$ and set $B_R = \{\zeta : \ |\zeta| \leq R\}$. Let $A(B_R)$ denote the set of continuous functions that are analytic inside B_R, endowed with the supremum norm. Also let $E_z \in A(B_R)$ denote the function $E_z(\zeta) = \mathsf{e}^{\zeta z}$, $\zeta \in B_R$. Given an entire function ϕ we set

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi,R} = \mathsf{cl}\left(\mathsf{Span}\ \{E_z\,\phi : \ z \in \mathbb{C}\}\right),$$

where cl denotes the closure in $A(B_R)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let ϕ be an entire function and let $R \notin |\mathcal{Z}(\phi)|$. Let $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^K$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{Z}(\phi) \cap B_R$ and let m_k denote their corresponding multiplicities. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi,R} = \{\psi \in A(B_R) : \ \psi \text{ is zero at } \zeta_k \text{ with multiplicity} \geq m_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq K\}.$$

Proof. Since point evaluations are continuous functionals on $A(B_R)$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{\phi,R}$ is included in the set to the right. Conversely, let ψ be in this set. Then $\psi/\phi \in A(B_R)$ and it is well known that Pol is dense in $A(B_R)$. (To see this, first show that a given function $\tau \in A(B_R)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by a dilation $\tau_r = \tau(r\cdot)$, $r < 1$, and then use that the Taylor series of an analytic function converges uniformly on compacts). Thus, given any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $p \in Pol$ with $\|\psi/\phi - p\|_{A(B_R)} < \epsilon$ and it follows that $\psi \in \mathsf{cl}\,(\phi Pol)$. Moreover,

$$Pol \subset \mathsf{cl}\left(span\,\{E_z : \ z \in \mathbb{C}\}\right).$$

To see this, note that the right hand side is an algebra which contains 1 and ζ, which is easily seen by considering the limit of $(\mathsf{e}^{z\zeta} - 1)/z$ as $z \to 0$. Thus

$$\psi \in \mathsf{cl}\,(\phi\, Pol) \subset \mathsf{cl}\left(span\,\{E_z\,\phi : \ z \in \mathbb{C}\}\right),$$

as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since $|\mathcal{Z}(\phi)|$ is discrete we can find an $R > \tau$ such that there are no zeroes in $\tau < |\zeta| \leq R$. By Proposition 2.5 we can write $f = \mathcal{P}(\mu)$ where μ is a measure supported on $\{\zeta : \ |\zeta| = R\}$. By Theorem 3.1 we have $\mathcal{P}(\phi\mu) \equiv 0$. This implies that μ defines a continuous linear functional on $A(B_R)$ which annihilates $\mathcal{M}_{\phi,R}$. By Lemma 5.4 it follows that $A(B_R)/\mathcal{M}_{\phi,R}$ is finite dimensional and that an equivalence class is uniquely specified by the values

$$\left\{\frac{d^j}{d\zeta^j}\psi(\zeta_k) : \ 1 \leq k \leq K,\ 0 \leq j < m_k\right\}.$$

It thus follows by linear algebra that for any linear functional l on $A(B_R)/\mathcal{M}_{\phi,R}$ there are $p_k \in Pol$ of degree $< m_k$ such that

$$l(\psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)\psi\Big|_{\zeta_k}.$$

In particular this is true for the functional induced by μ and thus

$$f(x) = \mathcal{P}(\mu)(x) = \int \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta}\, d\mu(\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right)\mathsf{e}^{x\zeta}\Big|_{\zeta_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k(x)\mathsf{e}^{x\zeta_k},$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

□

Note that if $\phi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ has infinitely many zeroes $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, then it is very likely that we can choose non-zero coefficients c_k such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta_k}$$

defines a solution to $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = 0$ in some sense. However, the above function will not be in Exp, and hence this falls outside the analysis presented here. One way to work with larger spaces than Exp in a general framework is to consider $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ for measures μ that do not have compact support, but that decay fast enough that

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi\mu)(z) = \int \mathsf{e}^{z\zeta}\phi(\zeta)\, d\mu(\zeta)$$

is convergent for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Such approach has been anticipated e.g. by Carmichael (see [13], Sec. 4, references therein) and will be considered elsewhere.

We will devote the remainder of this paper to examples (Sections 6 and 7), a comparison with other methods (Section 8), a discussion of initial value problems (Section 9) and the issue of how to practically implement the theory developed above (Section 10).

6. Translation-differential equations

We consider some special classes of symbols ϕ's in this section and the next, beginning with functions of the form

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k(z)\mathsf{e}^{\zeta_k z}, \quad p_k \in Pol,\ \zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{6.1}$$

We call the corresponding equation $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g$ a translation-differential equation, since it is easily seen (recall (3.3)) that the equation reduces to

$$\sum p_k\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x+\zeta_k) = g(x), \tag{6.2}$$

and is thus a combination of classical ordinary differential equations and translation equations.

Example 6.1. Set $\phi(z) = 2z\cosh(z) = z(\mathsf{e}^z + \mathsf{e}^{-z})$. Then (6.2) reduces to

$$f'(x+1) + f'(x-1) = g(x). \tag{6.3}$$

Returning to the discussion at the end of Section 3, This example shows that for *particular choices of* ϕ, Exp may be unnecessarily restrictive as domain of the operator $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})$. For instance, $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ would be a natural environment to work with in the present case.

□

We now consider an even simpler example. $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ will denote the set of functions which are in $L^2(I)$ when restricted to any compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Example 6.2. Put $\phi(z) = \mathsf{e}^z - 1$. The corresponding equation (6.2) with $g \equiv 0$ is

$$f(x+1) - f(x) = 0.$$

This equation makes perfect sense e.g. in L^2_{loc}, and clearly the solutions in this space are all functions with period 1. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\phi) = \{\mathsf{i}2\pi k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, Theorem 5.3 shows that any solution in Exp is of the form

$$\sum_{finite} c_k \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}2\pi k x}, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

which indeed is a function of period 1. Now, if we allow infinite sums above, then, by standard Fourier-series on an interval, we can reach any 1-periodic function in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Returning to the discussion at the end of Section 5, we see that Theorem 5.1 can be used to find solutions to $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0$ outside of Exp given that $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})$ has a proper interpretation in the larger space in question. We will leave a formal study of when this is possible for a separate work.

□

7. Convolution equations

As a second example of a particular class of symbols ϕ's, fix $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support and let us consider $\phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{-zx} u(x)\, dx$. Given $f \in Exp$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\frac{d}{dx})f(x) = \mathcal{P}(\phi\mu_f)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta}\phi(\zeta)\, d\mu_f(\zeta) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{-\zeta y} u(y)\, dy\, d\mu_f(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(y) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{e}^{\zeta(x-y)}\, d\mu_f(\zeta)\, dy = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(y) f(x-y)\, dy = u * f(x), \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

where the use of Fubini's theorem is allowed due to the compact support of both u and μ_f. In other words, *all convolution equations where the convolver has compact support fits in the general theory developed in the earlier sections.* This example

clearly demonstrates the non-local nature of $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})$, even when ϕ is a function of exponential type. For ϕ as above, one natural space in which to consider the equation

$$\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0 \tag{7.2}$$

would be $C(\mathbb{R})$, the space of all continuous functions with the topology of uniform convergence on compacts. It is well known that its dual is the set of distributions with compact support. By Theorem 5.1 we easily get that the closure in $C(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathsf{Span}\,\{\mathsf{e}^{\zeta x}\}_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}$ satisfies $u * f = 0$. That no other functions in $C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy this is true but difficult to show. It was proved by L. Schwartz, see [34].

Example 7.1. We start with an easy example, namely $u = \chi_{[-1,0]}$, and suppose we wish to find all solutions to $u * f = 0$ in L^2_{loc}, not just in Exp. Then $\phi(z) = \frac{\mathsf{e}^z - 1}{z}$ with zeroes $\{2\pi\mathsf{i}k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$, so the solutions in Exp are $\{\mathsf{e}^{2\pi\mathsf{i}kx}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$. Upon taking the closure in L^2_{loc} we get all functions with period 1 that are orthogonal to $\chi_{[0,1]}$. That this set is precisely the set of all solutions to the original equation $\chi_{[-1,0]} * f = 0$ is a consequence of Beurling's theorem ([26]) and a short argument, we omit the details.

Example 7.2. Consider the equation

$$f = \Delta u * f + g \tag{7.3}$$

in one variable, (i.e. $\Delta = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$), where f is the unknown function. If u has compact support and $f \in Exp$ then

$$\Delta u * f = \Delta(u * f) = \Delta_x \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{-\zeta y} u(y)\, dy\, d\mu_f(\zeta) =$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta^2 \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{-\zeta y} u(y)\, dy\, d\mu_f(\zeta) = \mathcal{P}\left(\zeta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{-\zeta y} u(y)\, dy\, \mu_f(\zeta)\right).$$

Hence, setting $\phi(z) = z^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{-zx} u(x)\, dx$, we have by Theorem 3.1 that

$$\Delta u * f = \mathcal{P}(\phi\mu_f) = \phi(\frac{d}{dx})f.$$

Setting $\psi = 1 - \phi$, we get that (7.3) is equivalent with $\psi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g$, which thus can be solved by Theorem 4.1, given that $g \in Exp$. Moreover, Theorem 5.1 tells us that the zeroes of ψ determines the homogenous solutions in Exp and, as before, we can retrieve more solutions by taking limits in some appropriate topology.

8. Review of other methods and examples

We now compare the methods of this paper with other classical approaches to the development of a functional calculus for $\frac{d}{dx}$. The standard approach is to notice that $-\mathsf{i}\frac{d}{dx}$ is an unbounded self-adjoint operator on e.g. $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and therefore the spectral theorem provides us with a functional calculus. The corresponding spectral projection

operator turns out to be the Fourier transform and hence, given any $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we get (by definition)

$$\psi\left(-\mathsf{i}\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi\mathcal{F}(f)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int \psi(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}x\xi}\, d\xi. \tag{8.1}$$

If we allow ψ to be unbounded, the same definition works but we get convergence issues in the integral (8.1). There are various ways of dealing with this. The operator-theoretical standpoint is that $\psi\left(-\mathsf{i}\frac{d}{dx}\right)$ is a densely defined operator, and hence the domain of definition is restricted to those f's such that $\psi(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The second possibility is to assume that ψ is a Schwartz distribution, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$ (or vice versa). In this case, the integral in (8.1) is symbolic but the theory is on solid ground. Moving one step further we can let ψ depend on x as well, and we arrive at the theory of pseudo-differential operators, of which (8.1) is a particular case. A third option is to work with functions whose Fourier transforms are functions or distributions with compact support, see for instance [17, 37]. This alternative is clearly the closest to the theory of the present paper, with the main difference being that the corresponding functions spaces are much smaller than Exp, since they contain no functions with exponential growth.

If $f \in Exp \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and if $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ of an entire function, these two avenues coincide with the theory presented in this paper. More precisely, by the Paley-Wiener theorem we have that functions in $Exp \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are band-limited, i.e. $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f) = \check{f}$ has compact support. By (2.10) we then have

$$f(x) = \mathcal{F}(\check{f})(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{P}\Big(\delta_0(\xi)\check{f}(-\eta)\Big)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{P}\Big(\delta_0(\xi)\hat{f}(\eta)\Big)(x).$$

With $\phi(z) = \psi(-\mathsf{i}z)$ Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathcal{P}\Big(\phi(\zeta)\delta_0(\xi)\hat{f}(\eta)\Big)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left\langle \mathsf{e}^{\zeta x}\phi(\zeta), \delta_0(\xi)\hat{f}(\eta)\right\rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\eta x}\phi(\mathsf{i}\eta)\hat{f}(\eta)\, d\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\eta x}\psi(\eta)\widehat{f}(\eta)\, d\eta,\end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

which is precisely (8.1). In particular, we note that the limit (3.1) indeed exists. It is immediate that a solution to $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g$ is given by the classical formula

$$f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\widehat{g}}{\psi}\right), \tag{8.3}$$

given that the right hand side is well defined. This clearly runs into problems e.g. if ψ has zeroes on $\mathbb{R}$, an obstacle which is completely absent in Theorem 4.1 due to the flexibility in choosing μ_g.

To further highlight some differences, recall Example 6.1. We first remark that with the "Fourier transform approach" (8.1), the corresponding symbol is $\psi(x) = 2\mathsf{i}x\cos(x)$. However, for solving (4.1) the formula (8.3) will not work due to the fact that $\cos(x)$ has zeroes on $\mathbb{R}$. Despite this, there exists a multitude of solutions given by the theory in Sections 4 and 5. Of course, the issue of the zeroes may be overcome

by using tricks, but we wish to demonstrate the simplicity and clarity of the approach developed here. Moreover, we remark that if we depart from real exponents ζ_k in (6.2), the Fourier transform approach *is* out of the picture.

We now take a look at a more intricate example which highlight both differences and similarities.

Example 8.1. Consider the heat equation on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - \partial_x^2) f(x,t) = 0, & t > 0 \\ f(x,0) = g(x) \end{cases}$$

where $g(x)$ is the initial heat distribution along $\mathbb{R}$. From a naive point of view, treating ∂_x^2 as a number and recalling the theory of ordinary differential equations, the solution should be

$$f(x,t) = \mathsf{e}^{t\partial_x^2} g(x). \tag{8.4}$$

Let $g(x) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$, i.e. the characteristic function of the positive real axis. Using the distribution theory interpretation of (8.4), there is no problem defining $\widehat{g}$, and then (8.1) gives

$$f(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \mathsf{e}^{-t\xi^2} \widehat{g}(\xi) \mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}x\xi} \, d\xi \tag{8.5}$$

which is well defined since $\mathsf{e}^{-t\xi^2} \in \mathcal{S}$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathsf{e}^{-t\xi^2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} \mathsf{e}^{-x^2/4t}$ and that the formula $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \check{\psi} * \check{\phi}$ also holds if $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'$. Hence (8.5) can be recast as

$$f(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathsf{e}^{-t\xi^2}) * g = \frac{\mathsf{e}^{-x^2/4t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} * \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+},$$

which is the well-known correct solution of the problem; $\frac{\mathsf{e}^{x^2/4t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}$ is an approximate identity as $t \to 0$ which satisfies the heat equation for every $t > 0$, as is easily verified. Moreover, the above solution corresponds with our physical intuition; if we have an isolated infinite bar with temperature 1 on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and 0 on $\mathbb{R}^-$, then the temperature should eventually even out to $1/2$ over the entire bar, which is precisely what happens above.

On the other hand, if we try to interpret (8.4) via the limit (1.2), we obviously get nonsense, for we then have $\phi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} z^{2k}$ but

$$\frac{\mathsf{e}^{x^2/4t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} * \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+} \neq \lim_{K \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \frac{t^k}{k!} \frac{d^{2k}}{dx^{2k}} \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$$

since the right-hand side for each fixed K equals $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ plus a distribution with support at 0, which in no sensible topology can converge to $1/2$.

At first sight, this seems to be in contrast with the equivalence of (8.1) and (8.2). However, the complications arise from the fact that $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ does not lie in *Exp*. For

$g \in Exp$, the calculations in Section 7 shows that (8.4) reduces to

$$f(x,t) = \frac{\mathsf{e}^{-x^2/4t}}{2\sqrt{\pi}t} * g \tag{8.6}$$

also with the functional calculus developed in this paper. More precisely, $\mathsf{e}^{tz^2} = \int \mathsf{e}^{-zx} \frac{\mathsf{e}^{-x^2/4t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}$ so (8.4) follows by the calculation (7.1).

□

9. On initial value problems

The search for an appropriate substitute of initial value conditions, (i.e. conditions on a solution f to $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = g(x)$ given at $x = 0$ which uniquely determines f), is an important topic in various branches of theoretical physics, see for instance [1, 5, 7, 18, 28]. We argue in this section that no such substitute exists that will work in general, although it is clearly possible for specific choices of ϕ. For example, if ϕ has finitely many zeroes, say N counted with multiplicity, then it clearly follows from Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 that

$$\begin{cases} \phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g, \quad g \in Exp \\ \frac{d^{j-1}}{dx^{j-1}} f(0) = c_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \end{cases} \tag{9.1}$$

has a unique solution f in Exp for all fixed g and $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$. A general framework including this case has been developed in [20, 22]. However, when ϕ has infinitely many zeroes we see that in order to specify f we will need an infinite amount of conditions at $x = 0$. It has been observed several times before [18, 28], that if f is analytic this is the same as uniquely determining f before solving the equation, (since the Taylor series of an entire function defines it uniquely). Another option, as is argued e.g. in [5], is to consider the "initial value problem"

$$\begin{cases} \phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g \\ f|_I = F \end{cases} \tag{9.2}$$

(where $f|_I$ means the restriction of f to I). Again we run into trouble if we ask that the solution $f \in Exp$, as F then uniquely determines f (since we can get the Taylor series at a point in I from F) and hence the equation $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g$ again becomes redundant.

Thus, for the question of appropriate IVP's to make sense we have to consider non-entire functions. Let us thus suppose that ϕ is such that $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g$ has a physically sensible interpretation in some space $\mathcal{H}$ which does not contain only analytic functions, as in Examples 6.1, 6.2, 7.1 and 8.1 and [20, 22]. For instance, in Example 6.2, the particular equation considered there has a natural interpretation outside of Exp, for example in L^2_{loc}, and initial data on the interval $I = [0, 1)$ uniquely specifies f, (by setting $f(x+n) = F(x)$ for all $x \in [0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus, supposing that f is given on $[0, r]$ with $r < 1$, the equation is under-determined and for $r > 1$ over-determined. However, the fact that in Example 6.2 there exists an interval of a precise length such that the values of f there uniquely determines f on $\mathbb{R}$, is clearly related to the very

simple structure of the symbol $\phi(z) = \mathsf{e}^z - 1$, and it is bound to fail in general. For example, dividing $e^z - 1$ by z, we obtain Example 7.1 (we can keep $\mathcal{H} = L^2_{loc}$). Then the appropriate length of I is clearly still 1, but now the equation

$$\begin{cases} \phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0 \\ f|_I = 1 \end{cases}$$

has no solution, as was shown in Example 7.1. As one takes into account ϕ's with less structure, we get more erratic behavior. We now take a look at an example where the symbol ϕ is such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0 \\ f|_I = F \end{cases} \tag{9.3}$$

has a solution *for all* I *and* $F \in L^2(I)$, but where no interval I is sufficient to *uniquely* determine a solution.

Example 9.1. Let $2m > r_m > m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, be numbers such that $\frac{r_m}{r_{m'}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for all pairs $m \neq m'$. Consider the set

$$Z = \{r_m n : \ m \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Given any $\rho > 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\zeta \in Z} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^\rho} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{n^\rho} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{r_m^\rho} \leq 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^\rho} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{m^\rho} < \infty.$$

By the theory of Hadamard decompositions of analytic functions, there exists an entire function ϕ of order 1 with zeroes Z (each with multiplicity 1). By Theorem 5.1 the solutions to the equation

$$\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0 \tag{9.4}$$

are given by

$$\mathsf{Span} \ \cup_{\zeta \in Z} \{\mathsf{e}^{\zeta x}\}, \tag{9.5}$$

where Span means taking all possible finite linear combinations. However, we will again assume that the nature of the problem (9.4) is such that we can take limits in L^2_{loc} and still get relevant solutions. We then claim that given any interval I and any $F \in L^2(I)$, the equation system (9.3) always have infinitely many solutions.

To prove this, we need to recall the classical theorem of Levinson on completeness of bases of exponential functions. Given a discrete set $\tilde{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$ that does not contain 0, we define $n_{\tilde{Z}}(r) = \#\{\zeta \in \tilde{Z} : |\zeta| < r\}$, (# denotes the number of points in a set), and set

$$N_{\tilde{Z}}(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n_{\tilde{Z}}(t)}{t} \, dt.$$

Given an interval I, Levinson's theorem says that

$$\mathsf{Span} \ \{\mathsf{e}^{\zeta x}\}_{\zeta \in \tilde{Z}}$$

is dense in $L^2(I)$ if

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} N_{\tilde{Z}}(r) - \frac{|I|r}{\pi} + \frac{\ln r}{2} > -\infty, \tag{9.6}$$

where $|I|$ denotes the length of I (see e.g. [9] or [41]). We will show that (9.6) is satisfied for $\tilde{Z} = Z$ and any I. Assuming this for the moment, our example is complete; for given F on I we can pick a larger interval J and extend F in different ways to J. Since all these extensions then lie in the $L^2(J)$-closure of $\mathsf{Span}\ \{\mathsf{e}^{\zeta x}\}_{\zeta\in Z}$, they are all solutions to (9.4) in the weaker sense considered here.

Now, (9.6) follows if we show that given any $C > 0$ there exists an R with

$$N_Z(r) \geq Cr, \quad r > R. \tag{9.7}$$

Set $Z_m = \{r_m n : \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ so that $Z = \cup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} Z_m$. Then

$$n_{Z_m}(r) \geq \frac{2r}{r_m} - 2$$

so

$$N_{Z_m}(r) = \int_{r_m}^{r} \frac{n_{Z_m}(x)}{x}\, dx \geq \int_{r_m}^{r} \frac{2}{r_m} - \frac{2}{x}\, dx = 2\left(\frac{r}{r_m} - 1 - \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_m}\right)\right)$$

for $r > r_m$. It is easy to show that $\ln(x) \leq x/\mathsf{e}$ so we can continue to get

$$N_{Z_m}(r) \geq \left(2 - \frac{2}{\mathsf{e}}\right)\frac{r}{r_m} - 2 \geq \left(2 - \frac{2}{\mathsf{e}}\right)\frac{r}{2m} - 2.$$

Hence, given any $M \in N$ and r large enough, we have

$$N_Z(r) \geq \sum_{m=1}^{M} N_{Z_m}(r) \geq \left(2 - \frac{2}{\mathsf{e}}\right)\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M}\frac{1}{m}\right) r - 2M$$

and (9.7) immediately follows since $\sum_{m=1}^{M}\frac{1}{m} = \infty$.

□

The conclusion of Example 9.1 seems to imply that *all* functions are solutions to $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0$ as long as we restrict attention to a finite interval of arbitrary length. This actualizes the question of whether it makes any sense to study the equation $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = g$ for general entire functions ϕ. We end this section with some comments on this. The discussion requires some acquaintance with the theory of entire functions, for which we refer to [9]. It is easily seen that the symbols ϕ in Sections 6 and 7 are all of order 1 and *finite type*. The ϕ in Example 9.1 still has order 1 but will have *infinite type.* Clearly, the situation in Example 9.1 is related to ϕ having "too many zeroes". The below proposition shows that the phenomenon in Example 9.1 occurs for all symbols ϕ whose exponent of convergence is greater than 1. We note that this includes the majority of all entire functions of order > 1, since the order and the exponent of convergence coincides if the *canonical factor* is dominant in the Hadamard decomposition, (see Theorem 2.6.5 and Sec. 2.7 of [9]). A notable exception to this is the symbol $\phi(z) = \mathsf{e}^{z^2}$ considered earlier, which has order two

and no zeroes. We remind the reader that the exponent of convergence is > 1 if there exists a $\kappa > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(\phi)} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^\kappa} = \infty. \tag{9.8}$$

Proposition 9.2. *Let ϕ be an entire function such that the exponent of convergence of the zeroes of ϕ is > 1. Then the solutions to $\phi(\frac{d}{dx})f = 0$ in $Exp(\mathbb{R})$ are dense in $L^2(I)$ for any bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. There is no restriction to assume that $0 \notin \mathcal{Z}(\phi)$. It suffices to show that

$$\limsup \frac{N_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(r)}{r} = \infty, \tag{9.9}$$

for then (9.6) holds and hence Levinson's theorem does the job just as in Example 9.1. Suppose that (9.9) is false. Then $N_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(r) \leq Cr$ for some $C > 0$. Moreover

$$\begin{gathered} n_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(r) = n_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(r) \ln \mathsf{e} = n_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(r) \int_r^{\mathsf{e}r} \frac{1}{x}\, dx \leq \\ \int_r^{\mathsf{e}r} \frac{n_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(x)}{x}\, dx \leq N_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(\mathsf{e}r) \leq C\mathsf{e}r \end{gathered} \tag{9.10}$$

.

However, with $\kappa > 1$ in (9.8), Lemma 2.5.5 of [9] implies that

$$\infty = \int_0^\infty \frac{n_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi)}(r)}{r^{\kappa+1}}\, dr$$

which contradicts (9.10), since $\int_1^\infty \frac{C\mathsf{e}r}{r^{k+1}}\, dr < \infty$. □

10. Implementation

The formula (4.3) for solving (4.1) is complete from a theoretical perspective, and if the particular application is such that g is given by an analytical expression, it is perfectly possible to implement it in a computer. However, if g stems from measured data, (4.3) is completely useless. For calculating derivatives is a highly unstable operation on a measured signal. Calculating $g^{(10)}$ with some acceptable accuracy is usually out of the picture, whereas in order to get a good approximation of $\mathcal{B}(g)$ via (2.1) we clearly need more than 10 Taylor coefficients. The obvious alternative is to try to get $\mathcal{B}(g)$ using (2.2), i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}(g)(\zeta) = \overline{\vartheta} \int_0^\infty g(t\vartheta)\mathsf{e}^{-\zeta\overline{\vartheta}t}\, dt \tag{10.1}$$

but here the obstacle is that (2.2) can only be evaluated for $\vartheta = 1$ or $\vartheta = -1$, since our measurements likely take place in the real world! With

$$X_+ = \inf\{\xi :\ g(t)\mathsf{e}^{-\xi t} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)\} \tag{10.2}$$

and

$$X_- = \sup\{\xi :\ g(-t)\mathsf{e}^{\xi t} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)\} \tag{10.3}$$

this will give $\mathcal{B}(g)(\zeta)$ on the domain

$$\{\xi + \mathsf{i}\eta : \ \xi > X_+ \text{ or } \xi < X_-\},$$

and hence we find that (4.3) can not be used since we lack information on the strip

$$\{\xi + \mathsf{i}\eta : \ X_- \leq \xi \leq X_+\}.$$

The following theorem basically says that if ϕ is bounded below on this strip, then (4.1) can be solved using the Fourier transform. For clarity we indicate the independent variable upon which the Fourier transform acts with a subscript.

Theorem 10.1. *Suppose that ϕ satisfies*

$$\inf\{|\phi(\xi + \mathsf{i}\eta)| : \ \xi \in [\xi_-, \xi_+], \ |\eta| > Y_0\} = \epsilon > 0$$

for some $\xi+ > X_+$, $\xi_- < X_-$ and $\epsilon, Y_0 > 0$. Then, given $g \in Exp$, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) =& \mathsf{e}^{x\xi_+}\mathcal{F}_s^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_t\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}\mathsf{e}^{-\xi_+ t}g\right)(s)}{\phi(\xi_+ + \mathsf{i}s)}\right)(x) + \\ &+ \mathsf{e}^{x\xi_-}\mathcal{F}_s^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_t\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-}\mathsf{e}^{-\xi_- t}g\right)(s)}{\phi(\xi_- + \mathsf{i}s)}\right)(x) \end{aligned} \tag{10.4}$$

provides a solution to $\phi\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) f(x) = g(x)$ in Exp.

We remark that, given a sampling of the function g, the corresponding discrete version of (10.4) can be efficiently implemented using the Fast Fourier Transform [15].

Proof. By Corollary 4.2 we have that

$$f(x) = \int_{\square_Y} \mathsf{e}^{x\zeta}\frac{\mathcal{B}(g)(\zeta)}{\phi(\zeta)}\ \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi\mathsf{i}}. \tag{10.5}$$

gives a solution, where $\square_Y$ represents the rectangle with corners $\{\xi_+ \pm \mathsf{i}Y, \xi_- \pm \mathsf{i}Y\}$ and $Y > Y_0$ is a parameter sufficiently large that the sides are outside the conjugate diagram S of $\mathcal{B}(f)$, and such that such that $\square_Y \cap \mathcal{Z}(\phi)$. By the assumption on ϕ and Cauchy's theorem, (10.5) does not depend on Y, and hence we can take a limit as $Y \to \infty$. By the equivalence of (10.1), it is easy to see that the two vertical integrals evaluate to

$$\mathsf{e}^{x\xi_+}\int_{-Y}^{Y}\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\eta x}\frac{\mathcal{F}_t\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}\mathsf{e}^{-\xi_+ t}g\right)(\eta)}{\phi(\xi_+ + \mathsf{i}\eta)}\ \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \mathsf{e}^{x\xi_-}\int_{-Y}^{Y}\mathsf{e}^{\mathsf{i}\eta x}\frac{\mathcal{F}_t\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}^-}\mathsf{e}^{-\xi_- t}g\right)(\eta)}{\phi(\xi_- + \mathsf{i}\eta)}\ \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

whose limit as $Y \to \infty$ equals (10.4). Hence (10.4) follows if we prove that the horizontal integrals converge to 0 as $Y \to \infty$. We consider the upper one. For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|-\int_{\xi_-}^{\xi_+}\mathsf{e}^{x(\xi+\mathsf{i}Y)}\frac{\mathcal{B}(g)(\xi+\mathsf{i}Y)}{\phi(\xi+\mathsf{i}Y)}\ \frac{d\xi}{2\pi\mathsf{i}}\right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon}\sup_{\xi_-<\xi<\xi_+}\left|\mathsf{e}^{x\xi}\mathcal{B}(g)(\xi+\mathsf{i}Y)\right| \leq const\cdot\sup_{\xi_-<\xi<\xi_+}|\mathcal{B}(g)(\xi+\mathsf{i}Y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}(g)$ is defined by a Laurant-series starting at ζ^{-1}, see (2.1), it easily follows that

$$|\mathcal{B}(g)(\zeta)| \leq const/|\zeta|$$

for large $|\zeta|$, and hence $\lim_{Y\to\infty} \sup_{\xi_-<\xi<\xi_+} |\mathcal{B}(g)(\xi + \mathrm{i}Y)| = 0$, as desired. □

An interesting note is that although the above theorem was derived under the assumption that $g \in Exp$, the formula (10.4) works for any function g such that X_+ and X_-, as defined in (10.2) and (10.3), are finite. For g in Exp, f given by (10.4) is a solution in the sense of (1.2). However, as was observed in Example 8.1, this interpretation of our equation (1.2) may be too restrictive for the underlying physical reality, and hence it is certainly worthwhile to consider formula (10.4) even for examples where (1.2) is too restrictive.

Theorem 10.1 typically applies to e.g. the translation-differential equations considered in Section 6, but it never applies to the convolution equations considered in Section 7. However, if g e.g. is a band limited L^2 function (i.e. a function in the Paley-Wiener space), then we have $X_+ = X_- = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{B}(g)$ can be evaluated at all points of $\square_Y$ if Y is large enough. Thus (10.5) provides a computable solution as is, given that Y is taken large enough.

Acknowledgments. M. Carlsson was supported by DICYT-USACH and STINT; H. Prado's research was partially supported by DICYT-USACH# 041133PC and FONDECYT# 1130554; E.G. Reyes' research was partially supported by FONDECYT grant # 1111042.

References

[1] I.Ya. Aref'eva and I.V. Volovich, Cosmological Daemon. Preprint, arXiv:1103.0273v2 [hep-th] 21 Jun 2011.

[2] W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber, and F. Neubrander, "Vector - valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems". Birkhäuser, 2001.

[3] G. S. Balashova, Yu. A. Dubinskii, Uniform well-posedness of a family of nonlinear boundary value problems of infinite order. (Russian) Differentsial'nye Uravneniya 30 (1994), no. 4, 610–620, 733; translation in Differential Equations 30 (1994), no. 4, 559Ũ569.

[4] D.G. Barci, C.G. Bollini, L.E. Oxman, and M.C. Rocca, Lorentz-invariant pseudo-differential wave equations. *Int. J. Theoret. Phys.* 37 (1998), 3015–3030.

[5] N. Barnaby, A new formulation of the initial value problem for nonlocal theories. *Nuclear Physics B* 845 (2011), 1–29.

[6] N. Barnaby, T. Biswas and J.M. Cline, p-adic inflation. *J. High Energy Physics* 2007, no. 04, Paper 056, 35 pp.

[7] N. Barnaby and N. Kamran, Dynamics with infinitely many derivatives: the initial value problem. *J. High Energy Physics* 2008 no. 02, Paper 008, 40 pp.

[8] N. Barnaby and N. Kamran, Dynamics with infinitely many derivatives: variable coefficient equations. *J. High Energy Physics* 2008 no. 12, Paper 022, 27 pp.

[9] R.P. Boas, Entire Functions. *Academic Press, New York* 1954.

[10] G. Calcagni, M. Montobbio and G. Nardelli, Route to nonlocal cosmology. *Physics Review D* 76 (2007), 126001 (20 pages).

[11] G. Calcagni, M. Montobbio and G. Nardelli, Localization of nonlocal theories. *Physics Letters B* 662 (2008), 285-289.
[12] R.D. Carmichael, On Non-Homogeneous Linear Differential Equations of Infinite Order With Constant Coefficients. Amer. J. Math. 58 (1936), no. 3, 473Ű486.
[13] R.D. Carmichael, Linear Differential Equations of Infinite Order. Bulletin AMS 42 (1936), 193–218.
[14] D.L. Cohn, Measure Theory. Birkhäuser, 1980.
[15] J. W. Cooley, J. W. Tukey. "An algorithm for the machine calculation of complex Fourier series". *Math. Comput.* 19 (1965), p. 297Ű301.
[16] B. Dragovich, Zeta-nonlocal scalar fields. *Theoret. Math. Phys.*, 157 (2008), 1671–1677.
[17] Yu.A. Dubinskii, The algebra of pseudodifferential operators with analytic symbols and its applications to mathematical physics. *Russian Math. Surveys* 37 (1982), 109–153.
[18] D.A. Eliezer and R.P. Woodard, The problem of nonlocality in string theory. *Nuclear Physics B* 325 (1989), 389–469.
[19] P. Górka, H. Prado and E.G. Reyes, Nonlinear equations with infinitely many derivatives. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 5 (2011), 313–323.
[20] P. Górka, H. Prado and E.G. Reyes, Functional calculus via Laplace transform and equations with infinitely many derivatives. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 51 (2010), 103512.
[21] P. Górka, H. Prado and E.G. Reyes, On a general class of nonlocal equations. *Annales Henri Poincare* 14 (2013), 947–966.
[22] P. Górka, H. Prado and E.G. Reyes, The initial value problem for ordinary equations with infinitely many derivatives. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 29 (2012), 065017.
[23] L. Gruman, Some precisions on the Fourier-Borel transform and infinite order differential equations. Glasgow Math. J., vol. 14, no. 02, 1973
[24] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
[25] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
[26] P. Koosis, Introduction to H^p-spaces, Cambrige University Press, 1980.
[27] B. Ja. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions. Revised edition. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 5. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1980.
[28] N. Moeller and B. Zwiebach, Dynamics with infinitely many time derivatives and rolling tachyons. *J. High Energy Physics* 2002, no. 10, Paper 34, 38 pp.
[29] G. Pólya, Analytische Fortsetzung una konvexe Kurven. *Mathematische Annalen* 89 (1923), 179–191.
[30] L. Rastelli, Open string fields and D-branes. *Fortschr. Phys.* 52 (2004), 302–337.
[31] M. Reed and B. Simon, "Methods of Mathematical Physics. Volume II" Academic Press, 1975.
[32] J.F. Ritt, On a general class of linear homogeneous equations of infinite order with constant coefficients. *Transactions American Mathematical Society* 3 (1917), 27–49.
[33] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis. *McGraw-Hill,* 1974.
[34] L. Schwartz, Théorie générale des fonctions moyenne-périodiques. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 48, (1947).

[35] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on euclidean spaces.* Princeton Mathematical Series, PUP, Princeton, 1971.
[36] W. Taylor, String Field Theory. In: "Approaches to Quantum Gravity", Daniele Oriti (Ed.), 210–228, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
[37] Tran Duc Van and Dinh Nho Hào, "Differential operators of infinite order with real arguments and their applications". World Scientific, 1994.
[38] V.S. Vladimirov, The equation of the p-adic open string for the scalar tachyon field. *Izvestiya: Mathematics* 69 (2005), 487–512.
[39] V.S. Vladimirov and Ya. I. Volovich, Nonlinear dynamics equation in p-adic string theory. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 138 (2004), 355–368; English transl., *Theoret. Math. Phys.* 138 (2004), 297–309.
[40] E. Witten, Noncommutative geometry and string field theory. *Nuclear Physics B* 268 (1986), 253–294.
[41] R. M. Young, An introduction to non-harmonic Fourier series. Academic Press, 1980.

Matematikcentrum, Lund University
Box 118, 221 00 Lund, Sweden
E-mail: Marcus.Carlsson@math.lu.se

Departamento de Matemática y Ciencia de la Computación,
Universidad de Santiago de Chile
Casilla 307 Correo 2, Santiago, Chile
E-mail: humberto.prado@usach.cl ; ereyes@fermat.usach.cl ; e_g_reyes@yahoo.ca